UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,345	06/09/2006	Takashi Uemori	UEMORI3	4978
	7590 07/13/200 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH ST		CALAMITA, HEATHER		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-5303	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/582,345	UEMORI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

HEA	THER G. CALAMITA	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sa application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (wi for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1. periods:	ame day as filing a Notice of a s: (1) an amendment, affidavi th appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory 	y Action, or (2) the date set forth					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ON		-				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whi have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n and the corresponding amount ned statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS 	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	or to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	201122			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pri (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);			cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or	m for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	e attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliant Americanient (i	10L-02+).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by		l be entered and an ex	planation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 8</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befo because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffice was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noti entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and visit in the control of the control	me <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)					
- 						
	/Heather G. Calamita/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1637				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments filed May 19, 2009, have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicants argue beginning on p. 6 of the response that Cleuziat does not specifically disclose the RNA is on the 3' terminal side of the primer. This argument is not persuasive because this is a necessary feature of DNA RNA hybridization. If the DNA is 5' to 3' the the RNA hybridized to it will be in the 3' to 5' direction. Cleuziat teaches a chimeric primer that includes in the 5' to 3' direction an RNA-type segment which hybridized with a 3' terminal segment of the target. Again Applicants' claims only require that the ribonucleotide is positioned on the 3' terminal side of the primer. Applicants assert that Cluzieth is being misinterpreted. This argument is not persuasive becaue the interpretation of Cleuziat in the context of the breadth of the claims is correct.